

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_97050 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Performance-based assessment: The way to go in contemporary classroom assessment |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Minimum Partial Incomplete. No description of the curriculum/ instructional method/model textbook, medium of teaching, etc. Partial It looks like a literature survey. Adequate It is not a detailed research article. There is no description of the teachers, their qualifications, training underwent, feedback from them on implementing outcome-based teaching of mathematics. There is no suggestion to improve the low performance of the students in higher order abilities or applications. See the attachment | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language is acceptable. | |
| Optional/General comments | In the paper, the missing information has been pointed out so that the author can improve the paper. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Thanikachalam Vedhathiri |
| Department, University & Country | Centre for International Affairs, National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research, India |