

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_97050
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance-based assessment: The way to go in contemporary classroom assessment
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>The article's citations primarily consist of sources that are over five years old or from before 2018. Although these sources may still hold value, it is crucial for scholarly articles to feature current and up-to-date research to ensure that the ideas are based on the most recent available information.</p> <p>Incorporating outdated sources can restrict the impact of the article and reduce its relevance to the current state of research in the field. It is my recommendation that the authors be urged to include more recent literature to support their arguments and enhance the credibility of the article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Language usage in the article is generally acceptable for scholarly communication, but some minor editing may be required to improve the clarity and flow of the writing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I would like to recommend that the authors delve deeper into the ideas and discussions presented in the content. While the article provides a good overview of the subject matter, I think that there is room for further exploration and analysis especially on "Modes of Performance Assessment" and "Nature of performance-based assessment" part.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Afandi Bin Zainal
Department, University & Country	Malaysia