

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_98286
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSIFICATION OF DIFFICULT LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY BASED ON IDENTIFICATION, DISSECTION AND PROCEDURAL (IDP) PROBLEMS' SOLUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>It is important since there is no scientific consensus on approach to difficult LCs</p> <p>A novel treatment-oriented classification for Difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy.</p> <p>I think the abstract can be better, and the aim and conclusion were better stated in the discussion of the article, which can be modified. Also, the abstract doesn't clearly state that the classification is novel. The presentation of the abstract can be simplified further and summarised more.</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are sufficient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is, with a few grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vivian Valin Akello
Department, University & Country	Mulago National Referral Hospital, Uganda