

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_98286
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSIFICATION OF DIFFICULT LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY BASED ON IDENTIFICATION, DISSECTION AND PROCEDURAL (IDP) PROBLEMS' SOLUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes -This research presents the classification of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy based on additional procedures necessary for resolving intraoperative problems. -The study included the results of 1995 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy between 2006 and 2022. 2. Title is appropriate. 3. Abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Total references are only 15, The author should have added few more references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The author is advised to declare the ethical committee approval, competing interest and financial implications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sujan Narayan Agrawal
Department, University & Country	Government District Hospital, India