

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_98442
Title of the Manuscript:	Aviary caged hens with unusual lymphocytes and normal H/L ratios
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is important for scientific community as it highlights stress indicator. 2. Title of the article is suitable and describes the work undertaken. (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. The abstract of the article is well write. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct provided some minor typing and grammatical errors may be corrected. 6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	some minor typing and grammatical errors may be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some minor typing and grammatical errors may be corrected.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	S J Manwar
Department, University & Country	PGIVAS, India