

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_98442
Title of the Manuscript:	Aviary caged hens with unusual lymphocytes and normal H/L ratios
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The subject / work is of importance in the field of veterinary medicine; however it requires a review due to some errors in the writing; It is recommended to take care of the form, style, syntax, and give order and coherence to what is intended to be demonstrated</p> <p>2. The title of the work seems appropriate to me.</p> <p>3. It is suggested to improve the writing of the summary, taking care of the order of ideas; In addition to adjusting to the essential elements that it must contain.</p> <p>4. The sections and structure are correct, except take care of the style.</p> <p>5. The work can be improved both in substance and in the form of writing.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient; however, they must be written taking care of the style/form (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and at the end of the list of references.</p> <p>It is necessary for the authors to review and adapt the manuscript with respect to the form of citation, both in each of the paragraphs that they support, as well as in the list of references, (based on the guideline of the journal, or with the characteristics of how must appear)</p> <p>It is important to consider the guidelines of writing - editing that gives the journal, and as an example the following conditions can be applied at work:</p> <p>This predominance of <i>A. lumbricoides</i> than any other GIPs agreed with some other reports [23,15]. On the other hand, da Silva et al. [19], Khanal et al. [2] and Hernández et al. [25] found <i>T. trichiura</i> predominant</p> <p>It is important that all the figures describe in greater detail what is intended to be highlighted - as a finding (It is suggested to mark or indicate with arrows the evidence found - with a better description), or improve the title of the figures and take care of the style of presentation.</p> <p>In the manuscript some details are marked (in color - underlined), which must be attended to, among others.</p> <p>In the conclusions section, describe only what was assessed and found in the study.</p> <p>Review the correct way of writing the references, both in each of the paragraphs and at the end of the list of references, respecting the style and recommendations of the journal.</p> <p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [5].</p> <p>All references should follow the following style. Reference to a journal:</p> <p>1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-498.</p> <p>Reference to a book:</p> <p>5. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>A minor revision is required regarding the writing of English.</p> <p>Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is recommended to take care of the style of the manuscript, also of the figures (focus on the document)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, México