

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_97549
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological Responses to Trauma-Haemorrhage (T/H) in Relation to Gender (Sex) in Adult Nubian Goats (Capra hircus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</b></p> <p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The references are not suitable, sufficient, and relevant. Up-to-date resources are required</p> <p>The conclusions cannot be justified on the basis of the rest of the paper.</p> <p>Due to the duration of the study as well as the number of cases studied, it is statistically flawed.</p> <p>Keywords are not scientific, enough and relevant.</p> <p>The figures are not satisfactory and clear.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</b></p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This paper has a potential to be accepted, but some important points should be reformed. There is written problem in whole the text. All punctuation, including commas, and ..., need to be revised.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramin Farhoudi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pasteur Institute of Iran, Iran</b>