

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_98265
Title of the Manuscript:	Compressed air uses, efficiency, and carbon reduction: A Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, important for sustainable production and to save environmental resources	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is O.K Suggested title: A case study on sustainable production and maintenance of compressed air at industrial sites	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	NO	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. But, Some more need to be added.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments	Yes, but minor typo graphical errors need to be corrected.	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Installation: Can be illustrated with schematic diagram. Subsections: Items of recommended actions must be specifically spelled out. Some are there indirectly, but all needs specifically mentioned. Figures: X and Y-axis titles and respective units are not specified in some of them. Clarity also missed in some. Typographical: Units are missing in super and subscripts. Sample are highlighted in manuscript. Make changes accordingly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Venkateswara Rao
Department, University & Country	LBR College of Engineering, JNTUK, India