

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_98936
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral juvenile otosclerosis: case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Dear Editor, Thanks for choosing as a reviewer for your journal. The manuscript describes an important condition of middle ear (otosclerosis) which is a progressive disease. The manuscript has been written well with good results and adequate discussion. I recommend the manuscript can be taken up for publication in your esteemed journal. However, before publication there are some major revisions should be carried out as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The category of this article would be CASE REPORT and not case study 2. Title could be little attractive 3. It would be better to add the impedance audiometry image (it should be ideally As type but you have mentioned flat curve kindly JUSTIFY) and intraoperative images to add more weightage to your case report 4. Kindly mention the postoperative advices said to patient as she has undergone both ear piston placement 5. Was there any positive family history? Kindly mention <p>Thanking you.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varunkumar J
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateshwaraa Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, India