

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_98917
Title of the Manuscript:	Thyroglossal duct cyst masquerading as a laryngocele
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>To be changed, it was not masquerading, On Ct csan this wa s thyroglossal duct cyst,</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Scientifically correct, but requires a lot of changes</p> <p>References are less, and need to add more recent ones, rather adding ref from 1985</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>This requires a lot of correction of sentences, grammer, and words.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article requires correction of sentences, such as.</p> <p>In abstract,, usually suspected based on examination, to be corrected, 60 year, to be corrected</p> <p>Introduction. TGDC make up 70% to be corrected</p> <p>Who receive the diagnosis needs correction</p> <p>Metastatic illness to be corrected</p> <p>Case Report</p> <p>Which been increasing to be corrected</p> <p>Evolution has been made needs correction</p> <p>Detail of surgical procedure to be written</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>70% of time to be corrected</p> <p>Numerous times to be corrected</p> <p>These are few examples which require corrections</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dildar Hussain
Department, University & Country	Medeor Hospital, United Arab Emirates