

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_98911
Title of the Manuscript:	Mastoid adenocarcinoma revealed by chronic otitis media
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. As this diseases is rare, it is good study. No, "Mastoid adenocarcinoma : A case report " No, You should tell some words about your Mastoid Adenocarcinoma Yes, At some places ":" is missing and some places ":" it's there, there should be uniformity Yes Yes, only one is old of 1999	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. It's not case study, it's case report, because case study is done descriptive way. 2. Topic modification is needed :- almost every malignancy of mastoid will initially present as chronic otitis media 3. The power of the study is very low, A case series would be better. 4. There is no mention about patient's follow –up. 5. There is no mention about Recurrence of the disease. 6. CT scan showed only one cut, at least adjacent cuts should be kept. 7. Was the CT scan pre–op or post-op 8. Patient was not diabetic , why suspected malignant otitis externa 9. The discussion part is very much incomplete .	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saurabh Gupta
Department, University & Country	Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences, India