

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_98173
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of 5E instructional model on students' performance in Mathematics non-routine problem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The manuscript IS important for scientific community karena this manuscript discuss about Impact of 5E instructional model on students' performance in Mathematics non-routine problem.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is incomplete. Article abstracts need to contain general conclusions regarding the effect of the 5E model on student performance in non-routine math problems, not just the statistical results.</p> <p>subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>manuscript is scientifically correct. This is because the writing and discussion in this article are in accordance with the rules of scientific writing.</p> <p>The references used are sufficient, but the latest references need to be added, namely references to the last 5 years' publications.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Writing references and citing scientific works in texts does not comply with the guidelines of the Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. 2. In the results and discussion section, it is necessary to explain the results of the parametric and non-parametric post-test data of the conventional and experimental groups.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Osniman Paulina Maure</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas San Pedro, Indonesia</b>