

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_98161
Title of the Manuscript:	Market Share of the Paddy Seed in Bhoodan Pochampally Market and Surrounding Areas of Yadadri Bhuvangiri District in Telangana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. No. 2. Author should restructure of the title of the manuscript. The proposed title of manuscript as follow: "the market share growth of the Paddy Seed in Bhoodan Pochampally Market and Yadadri Bhuvangiri District in Telangana" 3. Author should improve the content of abstract. The introduction of prepared abstract is lengthy. The objectives of the study is unclear. Besides, the discussed methodology is not in-depth. Additionally, author should include the implications (theoretical and managerial) in the abstract followed by keywords. 4. In the introduction, the prepared introduction is lengthy. Author should include problem statement as backdrop of the objectives. Author should prepare the literature review in the manuscript. Methodology, the discussed methodology part is unclear as well. Author should include the discussions of the results and end with conclusion. 5. No. 6. Please ensure that the format of preparing references is correct and ensure that all the used citations are listed in the reference lists. Author should also increase the number of references. Author should restructure the content of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Winnie Wong Poh Ming
Department, University & Country	School of Business and Management, University of Technology Sarawak, Malaysia