

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_98299
Title of the Manuscript:	DAYTIME VEHICULAR TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS AND MODELING AT SELECTED ROADS IN PORT HARCOURT.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

**This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.**

**To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:**

<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	YES YES YES YES (ONLY THE NAME OF PINT 2.0 IS INAPPROPRIATE) YES YES, but few more may be added (i.e. <a href="#">Delay, fuel loss and noise pollution during idling of vehicles at signalized intersection in Agartala city, India</a> , by Prof. Manish Pal) 1) In data collection, no of traffic could be presented in terms of PCU, Average daily traffic or standard axel 2) Position of the sound level during data collection is very important. Need to mention the same.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Paper may be accepted with minor corrections	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dipankar Sarkar
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology Agartala, India