

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92628
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF MODIFIED SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC POWERED PADDY WINNOWER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Although the manuscript has little direct connection with environment and climax change, the indirect justification is that the modification of existing machinery to give better performance would, and in many cases could, support issues in those areas. The manuscript in its present needs extensive editing including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Rewrite the abstract to remove repetitions and improve clarity (See suggestions in the edited version.) (2) Remove many typos and badly constructed sentences (See edited version) (3) The text does contain any references to the long list references given. The author must associate all the references in the text when they are used. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Number every section and sub-section to help easier reading. (2) Provide more space between all sections. 	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Chen
Department, University & Country	School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, University of New South Wales, Australia