

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_83767
Title of the Manuscript:	Attenuating Effect of Some Antioxidants on Caffeine Induced Spermatotoxicity and mutagenicity in Male Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Cite [1] in the Introduction section is misspelled. It is sufficient to add it to the end of the third sentence.</p> <p>"Purslane is named by World Health Organization as a widely consumed plant with medicinal value and was named "a global panacea" in the treatment a wide range of diseases [6]." The expression in the sentence does not exist in the cited reference. Please review this article; "Portulaca oleracea Linn: A global panacea with ethnomedicinal and pharmacological potential"</p> <p>The citation should be given for the toxic dose and duration of administration of caffeine. The extraction process should be explained in detail.</p> <p>Analysis of plant extracts should be included. If this is not possible, the literature should be used.</p> <p>Spelling mistakes should be corrected.</p> <p>Marked sentences should be revised.</p> <p>The references style should be reconsidered.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Spelling mistakes should be corrected.</p> <p>Marked sentences should be revised.</p> <p>It is appropriate to publish after suggested corrections.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Selma Sezen
Department, University & Country	Agri Ibrahim Cecen University, Turkey