

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Microbiology Research Journal International  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_MRJI_98536  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Heavy Metal, Nickel Analysis in Selected Surface Waters of the Bonaberi Industrial Zone, Douala IV Council, Littoral Cameroon. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | 1. Yes. The findings can help the community to have better water quality.<br><br>2. Suitable<br><br>3. Suggest to name the instrument to measure the concentration<br><br>4. Can be improved. Please refer to the reviewed article.<br><br>5. Yes<br><br>6. No. Please revise the list. Some reference do not have page number, and not complete. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | Suggest to send to proof read   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                               |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Ong Meng Chuan                |
| Department, University & Country | Universiti Malaysia, Malaysia |