

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_98452
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS IN SOIL AROUND METAL SCRAP RECYCLING AREAS DURING WET AND DRY SEASONS IN OGIJO, OGUN STATE
Type of the Article	Research study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes 2- Yes 3- Yes 4- Yes 5- Yes 6- Yes Line 49: replace the word "developing countries" by in some countries. Line 52: replace the word "developing countries" by in some countries. Line 77-95 : Provide references to support the information given. Line 151: Explain in details first how did you extract the heavy metal from soil, the size of samples in mg the steps the procedure....etc. . The digestion and extraction processes. Line 151: which method you have used in the extraction you need to provide a reference. Hich method you have used for the analysis, you need to provide a reference.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good quality .	
Optional/General comments	More information about the methodology of the sample digestion and analysis are required.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikrema Hassan
Department, University & Country	University of New Brunswick, Canada