

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_98452
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS IN SOIL AROUND METAL SCRAP RECYCLING AREAS DURING WET AND DRY SEASONS IN OGIJO, OGUN STATE
Type of the Article	Orjinal

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is important because its originality can be realized and analyzed and then interpreted. Yes, to some extent, I think it is better to write it as follows: HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS IN SOIL AROUND METAL SCRAP RECYCLING AREAS DURING WET AND DRY SEASONS IN OGIJO, OGUN STATE (SE Nigeria) Yes, comprehensive and understandable Yes, it is suitable Yes, it is suitable Yes, the references are sufficient and up-to-date.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor REVISION; It is presented in the text of the article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Homayoun Moghimi
Department, University & Country	Payam e Noor University, Iran