

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98964
Title of the Manuscript:	Parasitic Potential of Bracon brevicornis Wesmael and Chelonus blackburni (Cameron) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on Earias vittella (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Nolidae) and Helicoverpa armigera (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	--	
Optional/General comments	1. The sentence written 'The methodology and materials used in this investigation were developed at the National Bureau.....' may be rewritten as 'The study was conducted during 2019-20 and 2020-21 at laboratory of National Bureau.....'adopting standard methodology and materials. 2. No need of Fig 1 and 2. It's a duplication of Table 1 and 2 3. Addition of selected photographs of parasitized larvae (of different stages) vis-à-vis parasitoid:host ratio will enhance the quality of manuscript 4. All the references are need to recheck. Typological errors in the reference section 5. Table 1 and Table 2, CV values are very less. Need to recheck	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunandan B.L.
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India