

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRNH_98309 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The midwife's multi-dimensional responsibilities in child health in sub-Saharan Africa in the 21st century – a minireview |
| Type of the Article | Minireview Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, it is very important to focus on this issue. Many infant deaths every day. That's because of many reasons such as midwives.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable and clear.</p> <p>3. No, it needs to be revised. The abstract should focus on the study methods and the results. As well as the conclusion.</p> <p>4. Yes. It's well organized.</p> <p>5. Yes. As I said before, it's very important and will support the health society to care for midwives more and more.</p> <p>6. Yes, most of them. However, there are some references that need to be replaced with recent studies, such as (1998, 1999, ...etc.).</p> <p>7. The conceptual framework should be editable.</p> <p>8. Some sentences didn't cite a reference/s. Each sentence must cite a reference. For example: - Inadequate maternal and newborn care is child mortality are needed overall. - According to Forbes and colleagues, nurses offer a variety Hospital (secondary and tertiary) settings. - Presently, there is a growing consensus that stems care has a crucial contribution to improving maternal and newborn services.</p> <p>9. The conclusion is very poor. The author/s needs to write about two sentences.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Yes, it's well written.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Thanks to do this study.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Mohammed Muayad TA |
| Department, University & Country | University of Technology, Iraq |