

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_98069
Title of the Manuscript:	HEMATOLOGICAL AND CARDIAC MARKER VALUES OF ADULT MALE WISTAR RATS ADMINISTERED A COMBINATION OF COMMON ANTIMALARIAL DRUGS AND CIPROFLOXACIN.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript was dealing with the effect of two antimalaria drugs when given alone or in combination in heart tissue the idea was good and the manuscript give important information to scientific communication about the side effects of using these drugs in different body tissue. 2. the title of the article was suitable 3. the abstract was comprehensive 4. The structure of the manuscript and subsection was appropriate 5. The manuscript was scientifically correct and focus on the correlation parameter to give results 6. The reference was recent and sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language was suitable for scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please correct the manuscript as I noted in main text	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ammar Mahmood Al-Aalim
Department, University & Country	Mosul University, Iraq