

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_98552</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>FACTORS AFFECTING THE SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS LEARNING ENGLISH LANGUAGE: A CASE OF TENDU CENTRAL SCHOOL UNDER SAMTSE DZONGKHAG</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive to some extent.</p> <p>4. The subsection and the structure are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>7. The study is very interesting. But the following are to be considered so as to fix some issues and also to enrich it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The study is interesting, yet the following are to be considered:</li> <li>- Thorough editing is required.</li> <li>- The research gap (or problem), significance and types of attitude factors do not appear in the abstract.</li> <li>- Too many key words; please reduce to the half.</li> <li>- It is preferable to use 'Introduction' instead of 'Background'. Besides, it is impossible to have (1.1) without having (1.), so where is section (1.)?</li> <li>- You need to have the following as your main sections: 1. Introduction, 2. Literature Review, 3. Methodology, ....etc. So please, change accordingly.</li> <li>- I do suggest merging the main question with the sub-questions because Section 1.4 is the Research Questions.</li> <li>- In (1.5), the researcher starts with "The chapter reviewed relevant literatures related to attitude ...". Which chapter? Correct accordingly. The word 'chapter' also appears in other places throughout the study. Correct them all to 'section or subsection'.</li> <li>- When the author's name is part of the text, only the year is written between the round brackets. So, "(Garret, 2010) found that attitude..." should be "Garret (2010) found that attitude ...".</li> <li>- The Latin word 'et al' should be written in italics.</li> <li>- In different places in the study, the researcher uses the word 'poses' with no agreement with the subject as in "The findings indicated students poses both positive and negative ..."; maybe the researcher means 'possess? Or what? Please, fix this issue.</li> <li>- Paragraphs should be indented.</li> <li>- Please re-consider all the references. The first line is not indented but the other lines in each reference should be indented. The volume number should be italicised.</li> <li>- The word appendix appeared in the text, but there is no such a thing at the end of the study. So, please remove it from the text.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The language is scholarly but the whole paper needs a thorough editing.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nawal Fadhil Abbas
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq