

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98552
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS AFFECTING THE SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS LEARNING ENGLISH LANGUAGE: A CASE OF TENDU CENTRAL SCHOOL UNDER SAMTSE DZONGKHAG
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript is important for the scientific community to improve the quality of learning English The title of the article is interesting for English learning, but the title should be written shorter Abstract should be written research urgency, objectives, methods, results, the meaning of the results and implication. The subsection and systematics of manuscripts should be adapted to the guidelines and sample manuscripts Manuscripts can be declared correct following the stages of the scientific method The number of references can be classified into the high category, but the citations and references aren't in accordance with the guidelines and examples of manuscripts in this journal.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is included in the good category	
Optional/General comments	The structure of the manuscript should conform to the guidelines of the journal and the most recent examples of published manuscripts of this journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asrizal
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia