

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98458
Title of the Manuscript:	PEDAGOGICAL PRACTICES AND PUPILS' ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN MOROGORO MUNICIPALITY, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The Title is fine and can be used by the stake holders for ensuring quality education. The sample size is not appropriate. The results are based on the feedback of only 36 pupils and 24 teachers. The sample has been taken using simple randomized technique. It is insufficient. Parents, Private school Managements and Government representatives are ignored while collecting the data.</p> <p>Abstract can give clearer picture of the research being undertaken. It's not very comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and Structure of the manuscript seems to be appropriate.</p> <p>References can be more recent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language Quality is appropriate	
Optional/General comments	Can be Selected with major revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagmohan Mago
Department, University & Country	Apeejay College of Fine Arts, India