

Short Research Article

Development of a Novel Recipe for Vegan Ice Cream Based on Brown Rice Milk

ABSTRACT

The market for plant-based proteins, particularly alternatives to dairy products, is growing due to health benefits and environmental concerns. Many people are adopting plant-based diets, due to intolerance or vegan and vegetarian lifestyles promoting lactose-free and cholesterol-free alternatives to milk-derived products such as ice cream. Rice, which is a lactose and gluten free product, as well as low in fat, and calories, could be a promising substitute for dairy milk in foods such as ice cream. In the current study, the development of a novel recipe for ice cream based on brown rice milk was investigated. After standardizing the rice milk production protocol, six combinations of hydrocolloids were used as emulsifiers, as well as four sweeteners for granulated sugar substitution were tested. In all formulations, meltdown and overrun parameters were measured and at the final stage organoleptic evaluation was conducted to determine the level of sensory panel acceptance. In conclusion, it was evitable that the rice milk-based ice cream, which combines xanthan gum, guar gum, k-carrageenan, with 30% sugar substitution with stevia, was the formulation with the highest acceptance in the physiological characteristics and sensory evaluation.

Keywords: *hydrocolloids; panelists; plant based; lactose free; sugar; sweetener.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, reports have highlighted significant growth in the market for plant-based proteins, particularly in new alternatives to traditional meat and dairy products, which besides have significant environmental footprints [1, 2]. The trend of adopting a plant-based diet is increasing especially in Western countries, with many choosing to substitute animal products for vegetable options [3]. Besides intolerances, vegan and vegetarian lifestyles are also promoting plant-based alternatives to natural milk-derived dairy products, citing the benefits of lactose-free, cholesterol-free, and low-calorie foods that are better for overall health, including preventing lactose intolerance and cow's milk allergy [4]. While ice cream is a popular frozen dairy product with a great taste and texture, it has high levels of fat and cholesterol, which can be harmful to human health [5]. Additionally, lactose in ice cream can cause lactose intolerance among lactase-deficient consumers [6]. As a result, many consumers have shifted towards dairy-free ice cream. However, producing plant-based ice cream is a technological challenge because milk and dairy ingredients give ice cream its unique flavor and structure [7]. Hence, there has been growing interest in creating new food alternatives to traditional dairy-based products with plant-based substitutes such as rice. Individuals allergic to wheat-related crops (barley, oats, rye) often can tolerate rice and reactions to rice are rare in Europe and America. Thus, rice could be a promising substitute for dairy milk in foods such as ice creams, since it is lactose-free, low in fat, and low in calories.

Lemon juice	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Xanthan gum	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00
Guar gum	0.15	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
Locust bean gum	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00
Sodium alginates	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00
k-carrageenan	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.30	0.30
Pectin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00
Salep	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30

In all formulations the ingredients were mixed well using a hand blender in a pot, and 20 g of green tea biomass was added as a flavoring agent. Then the mixture was heated and pasteurized at 87°C for 30 sec by continuous stirring. The mixture was left to cool down until the temperature of 40°C was reached. Then the mixture was placed in a professional ice cream maker machine (MUSSO Giardino, 450 Watt, Overrun 35%) and left for 30 min to produce the final product. After production, all samples were placed in a freezer at -4°C for maturation and stored until further analysis.

2.2. Meltdown and Overrun

The effect of the different hydrocolloids was evaluated using the method described by Pon et. al., [16]. For the determination of the ice cream meltdown, 100 g of each ice cream sample was placed on a wire mesh attached to a cylinder and maintained in a growth chamber at a constant temperature of 25°C and relative humidity (50%±1%). The dripped volume was measured at 5 min intervals for a total of 45 minutes. The first drop time was measured as the volume drip per minute and the data recorded was used to determine the "Melting rate" in g per 5 min. Moreover "Overrun" was determined, a parameter that corresponds to the air that the matrix incorporates during the production of the ice cream, mainly during the freezing of the mixture of ingredients. Overrun was measured by comparing the weight of mix and ice cream in a fixed volume container according to Özdemir et al., [17]. The process was repeated twice for each formulation.

Furthermore, RIC was tested for partial or total granulated sugar substitution, using brown sugar, honey, carob honey and stevia glycosides, in several substitution ratios (Table 2). The tested treatments were: RIC_{CO}= Control (sugar 100%), RIC_{CA}= carob honey 70%, RIC_H= honey 30%, RIC_S=sugar 70% + stevia 30% and RIC_B= brown sugar 100%. The formulation RIC₁ was used as the most promising one according to the melting rate and overrun attributes.

Table 2: Substitution of granulates sugar by sweeteners

Sweeteners	Amount of sweetener (%)				
	RIC _{CO}	RIC _{CA}	RIC _H	RIC _S	RIC _B
Granulated sugar	100	0	0	70	0
Carob honey	0	70	0	0	0
Honey	0	0	30	0	0
Stevia	0	0	0	30	0
Brown sugar	0	0	0	0	100

RIC= rice ice cream, RIC_{CO}= Control (sugar 100%), RIC_{CA}= carob honey 70%, RIC_H= honey 30%, RIC_S=sugar 70% + stevia 30% and RIC_B= brown sugar 100%

2.3. Organoleptic evaluation

For the organoleptic evaluation, 15 semi-trained panelists participated according to the “Quantitative Descriptive Analysis” modified by Lawless, H. T. & Hildegarde, [18].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Hydrocolloids

3.1.1. Overrun

The structure of the RIC has been greatly improved by the addition of hydrocolloids to keep the ice cream as smooth and consistent as possible. Overrun values ranged from 10.9 to 40.5% for all the tested formulations (Table 3). Manufacturers report optimum overrun values ranging from 30 to 60% depending on the type of machine, the mix, and the type of produced ice cream (Stoelting, Specialized Equipment LLC, ROKK and Sentry). In the “gravity machines”, such as the one we used in our study, ice creams with overrun values between 25%-40%, mean that the final product will be wet, refreshing, and with heavy character [19]. In addition, “pressurized machines” produce ice creams with overrun values ranging between 45% to 60%, meaning that the final products will be fluffy and light. Moreover, low overrun values (19%) in plant-based ice creams were reported by Pontonio et al., [20]. Additionally, overrun values between 25 and 35% were reported by Elhassan et. al, [21] in ice cream with gums emulsifiers. The overrun value of the control ice cream (dairy) was 40%, which is standard for a conventional hard ice cream. In the case of RIC, overrun values in RIC1, RIC3 and RIC2 ranged from 33 to 32%, which can be characterized within the acceptable range of hard ice cream. Relative overrun values were reported by Jareonnon et l., [22] ranging from 37 to 38% in rice bran oil ice cream recipes, while we should consider that those recipes include fat derived by rice bran oil. On the contrary, low overrun values appeared in RIC4 and RIC5 showing that these hydrocolloid combinations used in the formulations are not acceptable and suitable for RIC. Thus, the hydrocolloid combinations xanthan gum 0.15%, guar gum 0.15%, k-carrageenan 0.03% (RIC1), xanthan gum 0.15%, LBG 0.15%, k-carrageenan 0.03% (RIC2), guar gum 0.15%, LBG 0.15%, k-carrageenan 0.03% (RIC3), were the most acceptable hydrocolloid combinations.

3.1.2. Meltdown

Furthermore, the melting rate showed a trend for giving lower values for the RIC3 and RC4 (5.77 and 5.95 g/5 min), with no big differences compared to RIC1 and RIC2 (6.93 and 6.81 g/5 min), while the highest values appeared in RIC5 and RIC6 (Table 3). A lower melting rate relates to the sustainability of the ice cream’s shape, which is typically evaluated as a good quality ice cream [23]. Therefore, there is a trend that the most acceptable formulations with the most successful hydrocolloid combinations were RIC4 and RIC3. However, there are no reports in the literature of a standard ideal melting rate in conventional milk ice cream, due to the variety of personal preferences and the types of the ice creams. However, ice

creams that melt too quickly are often perceived as being of lower quality as they tend to have a watery texture, while those that melt too slowly may be perceived as being too dense or heavy. The ideal melting rate would be one that balances the desired texture and consistency of the ice cream with a melting rate that allows it to be enjoyed before it becomes too liquid. On the Dream Scoops website several popular in USA ice creams brands are presented with their overrun values (24). Thus, good ice cream has a melting time of 20-30 minutes per 100 g of material at room temperature [25, 26]. Therefore, according to our results, the RICs did not exceed the limits of acceptable ice creams concerning the meltdown parameter.

Table 3. Results of the meltdown and overrun with different hydrocolloid combinations

RIC formulations	Melting rate (g / 5 min)	Overrun (%)
RIC1	6.93±3.06	33.96±4.02
RIC2	6.81±2.99	32.74±0.00
RIC3	5.95±3.11	33.62±2.42
RIC4	5.77±2.64	10.91±1.28
RIC5	9.56±5.06	17.35±0.01
RIC6	9.50±5.70	16.87±0.49
Control	11.06±3.38	40.56±5.32

RIC= rice ice cream, Means ± Standard Deviation

Overall, combining the overrun and meltdown results, the most acceptable formulations appeared to be RIC1 and RIC2. Moreover, in comparison with the control ice cream, all RIC melting rates were lower. These differences could be the results of the presence of animal fat in milk used to make the control ice cream.

3.2 Sugar substitution

The substitution of sugar appears to alter the overrun and the meltdown of the RIC formulations (Table 4). The highest overrun value appeared in the RIC1_S (40.56%) with a low melting rate of 5.34 g/5 m, showing that sugar substitution with stevia was the most promising one. Compared to the control overrun value was 50% lower, while melting rate was almost the same. Thus, 30% of stevia played an important role and contributed to the improvement of the volume and the sustainability of the ice cream's shape, compared to all the other substitutions. Moreover, the RIC3_{CA} gave a greater overrun value than the control and similar melting rates. On the contrary, the lower overrun value appeared in RIC3_B, while the melting rate was similar to the other formulations.

Overall, the sugar substitution with stevia resulted in an improvement of the desirable properties of the ice cream.

Table 4. Results of the meltdown and overrun with different combinations of sugar substitutes in RIC1

Sugar substitutions	Melting rate (g / 5 min)	Overrun (%)
RIC1_{CO}	5.99±2.72	39.27±1.31
RIC1_{CA}	6.01±2.30	39.03±0.93
RIC1_H	6.05±2.70	36.64±0.19
RIC1_S	5.34±2.83	56.69±2.68
RIC1_B	6.02±2.95	27.78±0.86

RIC= rice ice cream, Means ± Standard Deviation

3.3. Organoleptic evaluation

The analysis of the organoleptic evaluation revealed differences between the formulations after sugar substitution in the most acceptable formulation RIC1 (Table 5). The panelists evaluated RIC1_H with the highest ranking in sweetness (4.17) followed by RIC1_B (3.92), while the lowest value appeared in the control (RIC1_{CO}). Similarly, RIC1_H was ranked as the best in gumminess among (3.58) the five formulations followed by RIC1_{CA} (3.42) and RIC1_S (3.25), however, these evaluations were very close. The best formulation in the ice crystals evaluation appeared to be the control RIC1_{CO} (2.38) followed by RIC1_S (2.83). Finally, total acceptance evaluation appeared to be the highest for RIC1_S (4.08) followed by the control RIC1_{CO}, but with no big differences between them, while RIC1_{CA} was the least acceptable formulation.

Overall, after organoleptic evaluation, it was evitable that panelists rated RIC1_S as the most acceptable according to the four evaluated parameters.

Table 5: Organoleptic evaluation of the RIC1 formulations after sugar substitution

Sugar substitution	Sweetness	Gumminess	Ice crystals	Total Acceptance
RIC1_{CO}	3.38±0.87	2.54±0.78	2.38±1.19	3.91±1.19
RIC1_{CA}	3.58±0.87	3.42±0.87	3.08±0.76	3.50±1.45
RIC1_H	4.17±0.95	3.58±0.78	3.33±1.01	3.75±1.34
RIC1_S	3.67±0.48	3.25±0.95	2.83±0.73	4.08±0.95
RIC1_B	3.92±0.80	3.08±1.12	3.42±0.95	3.67±0.93

Means ± Standard Deviation

4. CONCLUSION

A novel vegan ice cream formulation was developed, analyzed, and evaluated. Thus, there is an evident trend that the formulation with the combination of xanthan gum 0.15%, guar gum 0.15%, k-carrageenan 0.03% (RIC1) was the most acceptable in terms of emulsification, as it gave the highest rankings in the ice cream consistency. Furthermore, the most acceptable sugar substitution was the formulation with stevia. Overall, rice milk-based ice creams can be a promising substitution of dairy milk for consumption by people with lactose intolerance and vegan groups. Therefore, it is evident that the ice cream industry can follow a quite straightforward workflow to invest in the production of rice milk ice creams, which can cover the needs of people belonging to special dietary groups. Moreover, the

formulations presented in the current study, with some modifications, in the emulsifiers phase, could be prepared at the households to serv the people with needs of special diets.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Plant-power: uk sales of meat-free foods shoot up 40% between 2014-19 Accessed 08.04.2023, Available: <https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/plant-based-push-uk-sales-of-meat-free-foods-shoot-up-40-between-2014-19/>
2. Milliatti m. C., lannes s. C. D. S. Impact of stabilizers on the rheological properties of ice creams, *food science and technology*. (2018), 38, pp. 733–739, doi:10.1590/fst.31818.
3. Alcorta, A., Porta, A., Tarrega, A., Alvarez, M. D., & Vaquero, M. P. Foods for plant-based diets: Challenges and innovations. *Foods*. (2021), 10(2), 293. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10020293>.
4. Park, y. W. The impact of plant-based non-dairy alternative milk on the dairy industry. *Food science of animal resources*. (2021), 41(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2020.e82>.
5. Salem, M. M., Fathi, F. A., & Awad, R. A. Production of probiotic ice cream. *Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences*. (2005), 14(3), 267.
6. Aboufazli, F., Baba, A. S., & Misran, M. The rheology and physical properties of fermented probiotic ice creams made with dairy alternatives. *International Journal of Food Engineering*. (2015), 11(4), 493–504. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijfe-2014-0343>.
7. Pinto, S. S., Fritzen-Freire, C. B., Munoz, I. B., Barreto, P. L., Prudencio, E. S., & Amboni, R. D. Effects of the addition of microencapsulated Bifidobacterium BB-12 on the properties of frozen yogurt. *Journal of Food Engineering*. (2012), 111(4), 563–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.03.016>.
8. Sakurai, K., S. Kokubo, K. Hakamata, M. Tomita, and S. Yoshida. Effect of production conditions on ice cream melting resistance and hardness. *Milchwissenschaft*. (1996), 51(8):451–454.
9. Hartel R.W., M. Muse, and R. Sofjan. Effects of structural attributes on hardness and melting rate of ice cream. In *Proceedings of the 2nd IDF Ice Cream Symposium*. (2003), Thessaloniki, Greece.
10. Prindiville, E. A., Marshall, R. T., & Heymann, H. Effect of milk fat on the sensory properties of chocolate ice cream. *Journal of Dairy Science*. (1999), 82(7), 1425-1432. doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(99)75369-5
11. Wilbey, R. A., T. Cooke, and G. Dimos. Effects of solute concentration, overrun and storage on the hardness of ice cream. Pages 186–187 in *Ice Cream: Proceedings of the International Symposium held in Athens, Greece, 18–19 September 1997*. W. Buchheim, ed. International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium.
12. Pelan, B. M. C., Watts, K. M., Campbell, I. J., & Lips, A. The stability of aerated milk protein emulsions in the presence of small molecule surfactants. *Journal of Dairy Science*. (1997), 80(10), 2631-2638. doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76220-9
13. Campbell I.J Pelan B.M.C. The influence of emulsion stability on the properties of ice cream W Buchheim (Ed.), *Ice cream*, International Dairy Federation Special Issue 9803, Brussels, Belgium, (1998), pp. 25-36
14. Saha D, Bhattacharya S. Hydrocolloids as thickening and gelling agents in food: a critical review. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2010 Dec; 47(6):587-97. doi: 10.1007/s13197-010-0162-6.
15. Bahramparvar M. & Tehrani M. M. Application and Functions of Stabilizers in Ice Cream, *Food Reviews International*. (2011), 27:4, 389-407, DOI: 10.1080/87559129.2011.563399
16. Pon, S.Y., Lee, W.J. and Chong, G.H., Textural and rheological properties of stevia ice cream, *International Food Research Journal*. (2015), 22(4): 1544-1549.
17. Özdemir, C., Dağdemir, E.U., Özdemir, S., & Sağıdıç, O. The effects of using alternative sweeteners to sucrose on ice cream quality. *Journal of Food Quality*. (2008), 31, 415-428.
18. Lawless, H. T., & Hildegarde, H. *Sensory Evaluation of Food. Principles and Practices*. (2010), New York, USA: Springer, LLC.
19. Tharp, B. W., Forrest, B., Swan, C., Dunning, L. and Hilmoie, M. Basic factors affecting ice cream meltdown. In Buchheim, W. (Eds). *Ice Cream*, p. 54-64. International Dairy Federation Special Issue 9803. International Dairy Federation. (1998), Brussels, Belgium.

20. Pontonio E., M. Montemurro, C. Dingo, M. Rotolo, D. Centrone, V.E. Carofiglio, C.G. Rizzello Design and characterization of a plant-based ice cream obtained from a cereal/legume yogurt-like, *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 161 (2022), Article 113327, 10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113327.
21. Elhassan I.H., Elgasim E.A., Basheer E.O. and Mohammed A.E.S. (2022), Effect Of Different Concentration Of Some Local Gums On The Physical Attributes Of Ice Cream, *IJSET - International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology*, Vol. 09 Issue 08, August 2022.
22. Jaroennon P., Nuanchankong J., Lilitchan S. and Manakla S. (2022) Low-fat, Plant-based Ice Creams Formulated with Rice Bran Oil and Rice Bran Oil Organogel, *Journal of Food Health and Bioenvironmental Science*, 15(1): 30-36.
23. Tharp, B.W. and Young, L.S. Tharp & Young on Ice Cream: An Encyclopedic Guide to Ice Cream Science and Technology. (2012), pages 88-93.
24. Dream Scoops (2023), Assessed in 08.04.2023, Available: <https://www.dreamscoops.com/ice-cream-science/air-in-ice-cream/>
25. Goff, H. D. Controlling ice-cream structure by examining fat: Protein interactions. *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology*. (2000), 55(2), 78-81.
26. Akesowan, A. Effect of combined stabilizers containing Konjac flour and κ -carrageenan on ice cream. *AU J. Technol.* (2008), 12: 81-85.