

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89326
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Awareness of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) among Vegetable Growers in Nakuru, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author was very brief, especially in the methodology section, he did not provide details. He uses multiple regression, but at no time he brings the model specification, so I didn't understand what the dependent variable would be. I am particularly concerned that the author uses multiple regression to assess determinants of awareness, I would have expected another type of model (logit, probit, etc...) In the introduction, the author makes reference to the importance of information in awareness, but then the variable <i>information</i> is no longer captured in the analysis. Regression details are required	
Optional/General comments	Very interesting topic. Nakuru is indeed a county with good potential for vegetable production, especially considering that almost 70% of its land is highly productive. I feel that the study could be enriched by providing much more details in the methodology and including other variables.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergio Ponguane
Department, University & Country	ISPG, Mozambique