

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_97885
Title of the Manuscript:	Self Esteem, Depression and Life Satisfaction among HIV out Patients Receiving Highly Active Anti Retroviral Therapy In A Nigerian Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, because it presents the possibility of using easily available research tools and the benefits of using HAARTS, i.e. a method of treating seropositive people in accordance with current recommendations.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the References section contains about 70% of "old" references, or from before 2013. I believe that this section needs to be supplemented with "newer" entries on the impact of other types of treatment on self-esteem, depression, or life satisfaction in patients.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript was very well prepared. Below are some comments that I try to take into account in the preparation of the final version of the manuscript:</p> <p>1) The Introduction section is too long, please leave only text strictly related to the topic of the manuscript. among others I suggest removing: "Self-esteem refers to the degree of consideration or respect an individual has for oneself and a way to measure the values attributed to his/her own judgments and capabilities. It is related to the concept of oneself and influenced by the way he or she is seen by loved ones. Blascovich and Tomaka [11] argued that Self esteem is the degree of self approval a person has about him or herself. Furthermore, Hewitt [12] said that people with low self esteem usually pay attention to negative life events as against those with high self esteem. Depression is an emotional problem in which a person experiences deep, unshakable sadness and diminished interest in nearly all past pleasurable activities or past times." (page 4) "HIV positive individuals apparently experience low self esteem due to rejection, problems of social identity and other physical conditions associated with HIV [19]. Beutell et al. [20] posited that life satisfaction is a multifaceted concept that includes things like friendships, hobbies, general health, income, living conditions, family life and partnership. Life satisfaction has been reported to correlate significantly with variables like good interpersonal relationships, better physical and mental health, good job, positive life events, and high income [21, 22]." (page 5)</p> <p>In addition, the last paragraph of this section contains three research hypotheses that the authors did not address at all in the rest of the manuscript. I would suggest deleting the entire snippet, i.e.: "The following hypotheses guided the study: (1) there will be no significant difference between self esteem, depression and life satisfaction among HIV/AIDS patients on HAART; (2) there will be no significant gender difference between self esteem, depression and life satisfaction among HIV/AIDS patients on HAART. (3) HIV/AIDS patients on HAART will not manifest low self esteem, depression and low life satisfaction." (pages 6-7).</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>2) Please complete the Results section with a description of the relationships found, as the authors limited themselves to providing them.</p> <p>3) Please insert the first paragraph in the Discussion section containing the most important results from the study.</p> <p>4) Please complete the manuscript with sections on the strengths and limitations of the presented study.</p> <p>5) All tables included in the Results section are too large, they can be easily reduced. They unnecessarily take up a lot of space throughout the manuscript. For Table 1, please provide a footnote regarding the methodology (name of the test/instrument) for the assessment of: life satisfaction, severity of depression and self-esteem. Please provide the method of presenting the data in tables 2-6: means and standard deviations in brackets? Please explain "N/S" from table 2.</p> <p>6) In the References section, all items should be standardized and adapted to the requirements of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper, but it seems to me that it's suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the entire text, please eliminate unnecessary spaces and complete them where they are missing. All tables need correction.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Małgorzata Stachoń
Department, University & Country	Institute of Human Nutrition Sciences, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland