

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJR2H_98598</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Hypofibrinogenemia Revealing Localized Intravascular Coagulopathy (LIC) In A Child With Venous Malformations (VMs) : A Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Case reports describe patient cases which are of particular interest due to their novelty and their potential message for clinical practice. A rare case of multifocal VMs complicated with localized intravascular coagulation, revealed by low fibrinogen level is quite important.</p> <p>2. Yes Suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes. Only Case Reports have word limits: Papers should not exceed 2000 words</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Venous malformation is the most common congenital slow-flow vascular malformation, occurring anywhere in the body, most often in the extremities, and the cervico-facial area. The association between VMs and LIC has been reported in several studies, estimated at 42 to 88% of venous and venous-lymphatic malformations. The extent of VM correlates with the severity of LIC and the overall risk of thrombosis formation. This study is important in this respect. However, there are some issues that need to be corrected. These matters are given below, in substance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In Abstract, the texts should be given aligned on both sides. Some of the texts are left aligned.</li> <li>Abbreviations in the text should be written clearly when they are first used in the text, and their abbreviated form should be given in parentheses right next to it. Repeated abbreviations for the same term in the article should be avoided. Such as <b>localized intravascular coagulopathy (LIC), Venous malformation (VM), disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC),...</b></li> <li>References should be reviewed according to the journal format. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets <b>[3]</b>.</li> <li>References should be given aligned on both sides. Some of the references are left aligned.</li> <li>In Keywords, use of abbreviations should be avoided, only standard abbreviations, well known in the established area may be used, if appropriate.</li> <li>The writing style is written differently in the references. References should be reviewed according to the journal format.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Emrah Yerlikaya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Siirt University, Turkey</b>