

## Original Research Article

# Effect of plant growth regulator and micronutrient on growth, flowering, and physical quality parameter of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. Dehradun

### ABSTRACT

The experiment was carried out at Horticulture Garden, Department of Fruit Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) during two subsequent years *i.e.*, 2020 and 2021. Sixteen treatments *viz.*, four levels of GA (0, 20, 40 and 60 ppm) and Boron (0, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7%) were studied in a Factorial Completely Randomized Design with three replications. Spraying was done twice *i.e.*, before flowering (07 Feb.) and at pea stage (05 April) during both the years. Application of GA @ 60 ppm and Zinc@ 0.7 % increases length of new shoot (24.41 and 24.44 cm), number of leaves per shoot (23.55 and 24.06), length of panicle (34.89 and 35.96 cm), number of fruit per panicle (21.11 and 22.19), length of fruit (4.21 and 4.30 cm), diameter of fruit (4.22 and 4.29 cm), fruit weight (20.22 and 21.16 g), weight of pulp (13.69 and 13.70 g), and pulp stone ratio (4.65 and 4.62) in both respective years and reduced days required to flowering after first spray (22.13 and 21.30) days, length of seed (2.16 and 2.14 cm), diameter of seed (1.32 and 1.30 cm), weight of seed (3.20 and 3.17 g) and rind weight (2.54 and 2.52 g) in the plains of northern India.

**Keywords:** Litchi, Zinc, GA, Growth, Flowering, Physical fruit quality.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The mature fruit of litchi is a “single seeded nut” in which edible part is “fleshy aril”. The fruits are produced in loose cluster of 2 to 24 or even more. Soft thorn like tubercles is present on all over the fruit skin, which become flat, as the fruit ripens. Though the litchi tree flowers profusely, only a small percentage of the flowers develops into fruits. Poor set of fruits is due to poor pollination and premature flower and fruit drop, which limit the yield.

Over the years plant growth regulators (PGRs) and micronutrient have been consistently used to augment maximum and sustained economic benefits in litchi production through altering the behaviour of fruit or fruit plants. Yield and quality of litchi fruit have been positively influenced by both micronutrients and plant growth regulators. Application of PGRs results in increased flowering, fruiting and retention of fruit. The supply route of cell sap to fruit is severed by formation of abscission layer and gradually thin cork cells separate resulting in fruit dropping.

Micronutrients applied in optimum concentrations results in better plant growth which leads to higher yield, better flowering and higher fruit set. Plants require a substantial amount of the total requirement of certain micronutrients to be fed through foliar application which results in improved fruit quality. Metabolic activities

of plants greatly depend on zinc. Zinc primarily functions as a metal activator of enzymes like dehydrogenase (Pyridine nucleotide, glucose-6 phosphodiesterase, carbonic anhydrase *etc.*).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was conducted at Horticulture Garden, Department of Fruit Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur during two subsequent years *i.e.*, 2020 and 2021. There were 16 treatments tried in a Factorial Completely Randomized Design with three replications. Uniform and healthy 30 years old, sixteen plants of litchi cv. Dehradun were selected on each plant similar three branches were identified and tagged as unit. GA 0, 20, 40 and 60 ppm and Zinc 0, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7% were sprayed twice *i.e.*, first spraying on 5 Feb. before initiation of inflorescence and second at pea stage on 06 April during both the years. All the manurial requirement, cultural practices and plant protection measured were adopted as per norms. Five panicles in each direction were selected randomly in each treatment for recording days to flowering, length of panicle (cm), fruit retention at maturity, size, weight of fruit and marketable yield per plant (kg). Blemished, cracked and very small fruits were discarded and remaining ones were taken as marketable. Observations on growth, flowering and physical quality parameters in all treatments using recommended techniques.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Length of New Shoot

In respect to different GA and Zinc concentrations on initial length of shoot are an expression the plants which was influenced by GA and Zinc growth regulators over control. The effect GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant combined treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (25.32 and 25.35 cm) length of shoot closely followed by treatment  $G_2Z_3$  (24.53 and 24.56 cm). The minimum (17.26 and 17.29 cm) length of shoot was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. All these factors contributed to cell multiplication, which has resulted in to better photosynthetic activity and its translocation to promote better vegetative growth. Thus increased the number of leaves per shoot also pointed out by **Dubey *et al.*, (2017)** in Litchi, **Tripathi and Shukla (2004)** in strawberry and **Suman *et. al.* (2021)**, in guava.

### 3.2 Number of leaves per shoot

Referring to different GA and Zinc concentrations on number of leaves per shoot are an expression the plants which was influenced by GA and Zinc growth regulators over control. The United effect GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant combined treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (25.24 and 25.98) number of leaves per shoot closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (23.92 and 24.07). The minimum (16.46 and 16.94 cm) number of leaves per shoot was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. Improvement in vegetative growth in this present finding are also in conformity with the works of **Tagad *et al.*, (2018)** in acid lime, **Lenka *et al.*, (2019)** in Guava.

### 3.3 Days required to flowering after spray

In relation to different GA and Zinc concentrations on initial days required to flowering after spray are an expression the plants which was influenced by GA and Zinc growth regulators over control. Collective effect GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly minimum (21.18 and 20.16) days required to flowering closely followed by treatment  $G_2Z_3$  (22.75 and 20.78 cm). The maximum (28.14 and 27.13) days required to flowering was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These results are also in conformity with the findings of **Mukhtar *et al.*, (2011)** in olive and **Tripathi and Shukla (2006)** in strawberry. GA application also enhanced the number of flowers per shoot, might be due to enforcement of photosynthetic and other metabolic activities which lead to increase in various plant metabolites responsible for cell division and cell elongation, photosynthetic activity, respiration as well as growth of plant.

### 3.4 Length of panicle

As respects different GA and Zinc concentrations on initial length of panicle are an expression the plants which was influenced by GA and Zinc growth regulators over control. The Combined treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced non-significantly maximum (35.18 and 36.66 cm) length of panicle closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (34.98 and 36.14 cm). The minimum (28.16 and 29.18 cm) length of panicle was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. The foliar sprays of chemical *viz.*, Zn and  $GA_3$ , might

have induced the synthesis of chlorophyll and thus lead to increase in chlorophyll content which in turn resulted in higher vegetative growth. These results are in accordance to the finding of **Tripathi et al., (2022)** in ber and **Tripathi and Shukla (2010)**.

### 3.5 Number of fruits per panicle

Joint consequence of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant in first year and significant in second year treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (22.16 and 23.78) number of fruits per panicle closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (21.66 and 22.84). The maximum (15.26 and 16.16) number of fruits per panicle was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. The higher number of fruits per node might be due to fact that nitrogen is component of chlorophyll and gibberellic acid and auxin help in chlorophyll formation that regulate the build-up of proper C:N ratio, which controls the flowering and fruiting of plants. It is also assumed that gibberellin and auxin play significant role in photosynthetic activity and better translocation of metabolites for developing fruit lets. These results are in close conformed to the finding of **Suman et al., (2021)** in guava and **Kumar et al., (2018)** in mango.

### 3.6 Length of fruit (cm)

Interactive effect of GA and Zinc was found to be significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (4.33 and 4.34 cm) length of fruit closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (4.23 and 4.34 cm). The maximum (2.10 and 2.40 cm) length of fruit was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These results are in accordance with the reports of **Kumar et al., (20187)** in mango, **Priyadarshi et al., (2018)**, **Gupta et al., (2022)** in Litchi. Spraying of zinc alone or with  $GA_3$  at any concentration markedly increased fruit diameter comparing with the control. The results are shown that use of  $ZnSO_4$  at 0.5% with  $GA_3$  resulted in improvement of fruit length compared to the control. Moreover, spraying zinc alone or in combination with  $GA_3$  at any concentration significantly increased yield comparing with the control. Although highest yield was obtained from trees sprayed with  $GA_3 + ZnSO_4$ .

### 3.7 Diameter of fruit (cm)

Interactive impact of GA and Zinc was found to be significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (4.35 and 4.43 cm) diameter of fruit closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (4.24 and 4.35 cm). The minimum (2.10 and 2.52 cm) diameter of fruit was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. The possible reason for enhancement in fruit size with NAA,  $GA_3$  and  $ZnSO_4$  might be due to higher synthesis of metabolites and enhanced mobilization of food and minerals from other part of the plant toward the developing fruits as it is a well-established fact that the fruit acts as extremely active metabolic sink. The enhancement of fruit size with NAA,  $GA_3$  and  $ZnSO_4$  might be due to their involvement in hormonal metabolism, increased cell division, elongation and expansion of cell. These results are in accordance with **Kaur (2017, Priyadarshi et al., (2018)** and **Animesh and Bikash (2009)** in litchi.

### 3.7 Length of seed (cm)

Combine influence of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly minimum (2.06 and 2.03 cm) length of seed at harvesting closely followed by treatment  $G_2Z_3$  (2.15 and 2.13 cm). The maximum (2.98 and 2.96 cm) length of seed was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These findings are in accordance with the reports of **Priyadarshi et al., (2018)**, **Kaur (2017)** in litchi.

### 3.8 Diameter of seed (cm)

Interactive consequence of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly minimum (1.26 and 1.24 cm) diameter of seed at harvesting closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (1.30 and 1.28 cm). The maximum (1.97 and 1.95 cm) diameter of seed at harvesting was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These findings are in accordance with the reports of **Priyadarshi et al., (2018)**, **Kaur (2017)** in litchi.

### 3.9 Fruit weight (g)

Correlative effect of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (20.78 and 21.82 g) fruit weight closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (20.53 and 21.58cm). The minimum (17.13 and 18.14 cm) fruit weight were presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. The reason for increase in fruit weight and volume due to spray of  $ZnSO_4$  and  $GA_3$  may have due to improve synthesis of more photosynthetic and their translocation to the fruit which ultimately

improved the weight and volume of fruit. These findings are in accordance with the reports of **Bhadauria et al., (2018)** in aonla, **Singh et al., (2017)**, and **Kumar et al., (2018)** in mango.

### 3.10 Weight of pulp (g)

United implication of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (13.97 and 13.99 g) weight of pulp closely followed by treatment  $G_2Z_3$  (13.88 and 13.85 g). The maximum (10.33 and 10.36 g) weight of pulp was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These findings got the support with the reports of **Tripathi and Sharma (2008)** in phalsa, **Priyadarshi and Hota (2021)** in litchi and **Pandey et al., (2011)** in ber. This increase may be ascribed to enhance synthesis of metabolites, increased absorption of water and mobilization of sugars and minerals in the expanded cells and intercellular space of mesocarp. These enhancements of above physiological activities are accelerated possibly due to growth promoter as well as nutrients also.

### 3.11 Weight of seed (g)

Joint effect of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly minimum (3.15 and 3.13 g) weight of seed at harvesting closely followed by treatment  $G_2Z_3$  (3.19 and 3.16 g). The maximum (3.88 and 3.80 cm) weight of seed at harvesting was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These findings are in accordance with the reports of **Singh et al., (2017)** in phalsa, **Singh et al., (2017)** in mango and **Priyadarshi et al., (2018)** in litchi.

### 3.12 Rind weight

Interactive impact of GA and Zinc was found to be non-significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly minimum (2.51 and 2.49 g) Rind weight at harvesting closely followed by treatment  $G_3Z_2$  (2.53 and 2.51 g). The maximum (2.82 and 2.80 g) rind weight at harvesting was presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These findings got the support with the reports of **Sumi and Sarkar (2020)** and **Kaur (2017)** in litchi.

### 3.13 Pulp /stone ratio

The combine influence of GA and Zinc was found to be significant treatment of  $G_3Z_3$  induced significantly maximum (4.88 and 4.85) pulp /stone ratio closely followed by treatment  $G_2Z_3$  (4.76 and 4.71). The maximum (2.13 and 2.11) pulp /stone ratio were presented with control ( $G_0Z_0$ ) during both the years of experiments. These findings are in accordance with the reports of **Yadav et al., (2010)** in aonla, **Kaur (2017)**, **Priyadarshi and Hota (2021)** in litchi. The scenario of the above findings on pulp: seed ratio showed the prominent influence of borax rather than  $GA_3$  and zinc sulphate in promoting pulp: seed ratio. It might be due to the faster-leading mobilization of sugars into the fruit and increasing intercellular space in the pulp.

## 4. CONCLUSION:

On the basis of results obtained in the present investigations, it may be concluded that the application of GA and Zinc resulted in a significant reduction in fruit drop, improvement in flowering, growth and fruit quality parameters of litchi with maximum fruit set and retention as well as physical characters such as size of fruit (length and diameter), weight of fruit with increased the yield per plant and per hectare in both GA @ 60ppm and Zinc @ 0.7 % treated plants.

### References:

1. Animesh, S., and Bikash, G. (2009). Effect of foliar application of micro nutrients on retention, yield and quality of fruit in litchi cv Bombai. *Environment and Ecology*, **27**(1): 89-91.
2. Bhadauria, A. S.; Tripathi, V. K.; Singh, A. and Gupta, S. (2018). Effect of foliar application of plant bio-regulators and micronutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of aonla. *Progressive Research-An International Journal*, **13**(3): 216-219.
3. Dubey, Vishal; Meena, M. L. and Tripathi, V.K. (2017). Effect of plant bio-regulators and micronutrient on vegetative growth, yield and quality of strawberry cv. Chandler. *Progressive Research- An International Journal*, **12**(3): 330-332.
4. Gupta, A., Tripathi, V. K., and Shukla, J. K. (2022). Influence of  $GA_3$ , Zinc and Boron on Fruit drop, Yield and Quality of Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). *Biological Forum-An International Journal*, **14**:1079-1083.

5. Kaur, S. (2017). Effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on fruit set, fruit retention, yield and quality attributes in litchi cultivar Dehradun. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **6**(22): 982-986.
6. Kumar, R.; Tripathi, V.K.; Tomar, S. and Chaudhary, M. (2018). Effect of best plant bio-regulators and micronutrient for achieving higher yield and quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruits cv. Amrapali. *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*, **10**(11): 599-604.
7. Lenka, J., Acharya, G. C., Sahu, P., Dash, D. K., Samant, D., Panda, C. M. and Panda, R. K. (2019). Assess the Effect of Micronutrients and Bio-regulators on Growth, Flowering, Fruiting and Yield of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, **8**(10): 401-409.
8. Mukhtar, A., Khan, M. A., Niaz, A., Sudheer, T., and Aasia, R. (2011). Effect of Boron and Gibberellic Acid on growth and fruit yield of Olive cv." Uslu". *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, **8**(1): 123-126.
9. Pandey, A., Tripathi, V. K., Pandey, M., Mishra, A. N., and Kumar, D. (2011). Influence of NAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and zinc sulphate on fruit drop, growth, yield and quality of ber cv. Banarasi Karaka. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Minor Fruits and Medicinal Plants for Health and Ecological Security (ISMF and MP), West Bengal, India, pp. 184-187.
10. Priyadarshi, V.; Hota, D. and Karna, A. K., (2018). Effect of Growth Regulators and Micronutrient Spray on Chemical Parameters of Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. Calcuttia. *International Journal of Economic Plants*, **5**(3): 99-103.
11. Ramezani, S., and Shekafandeh, A. (2009). Roles of gibberellic acid and zinc sulphate in increasing size and weight of olive fruit. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **8**(24).
12. Singh, B., Yadav, A. L., and Meena, A. K. (2017). A study on foliar feeding of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on vegetative growth and yield of phalsa (*Grewia subinaequalis* DC). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci*, **6**: 768-775.
13. Singh, T., Tripathi, V.K. and Tiwari, P. (2017). Influence of pre-harvest application of plant bio-regulators and micronutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of mango. *Progressive Research - An International Journal*, **12**(4): 2640-2644.
14. Suman, Singh, A.K., Kanth, N and Kumar, A. (2021). Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on flowering and physical parameter of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda under agro-ecological condition of north Bihar. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10**(9): 483-486.
15. Sumi, M., and Sarkar, A. (2020). Quality Assessment of Litchi (*Litchi Chinensis* Sonn.) Cv. China as Influenced by Pre-Harvest Treatments. *Natural Resources Management and Sustainable Agriculture with Reference to North-East India*, **28**: 141.
16. Tagad, S. S., Patil, M. B., Patil, S. G., and Deshpande, D. P. (2018). Effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on growth and yield parameters of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* L.) cv. Sai Sarbati. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(5): 741-744.
17. Tripathi, S. K., Gangwar, V., Kumar, D., Pratap, R., Pal, O., Chouhan, N. K., and Tripathi, S. M. (2022). Influence of Foliar Application of NAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and Zinc Sulphate on Fruiting and Yield Attributes of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Biological Forum-An International Journal*, **14**(4):83-87.
18. Tripathi, V. K., and Shukla, P. K. (2010). Influence of plant bio-regulators, boric acid and zinc sulphate on yield and fruit characters of strawberry cv. Chandler. *Progressive Horticulture*, **42**(2): 186-188.
19. Tripathi, V.K. and Shukla, P.K. (2004). Effect of different plant bio-regulators on growth, yield and quality strawberry cv. Chandler. *First Indian Horticulture Congress. 2004 on "Improving productivity, quality, post-harvest management and trade in horticulture crops"* held during 6-9<sup>th</sup> Nov. 2004 at New Delhi, pp: 284-285.
20. Tripathi, V.K. and Shukla, P.K. (2006). Effect of plant bio-regulators on growth, yield and quality of strawberry cv. Chandler. *Journal of Asian Horticulture*, **2**(4): 260-263.
21. Tripathi, V.K. and Shukla, P.K. (2008). Influence of Plant Bio-Regulators and Micronutrients on Flowering and Yield of Strawberry vv. Chandler. *Annals of Horticulture*, **1**(1): 45-48.

22. Yadav, S.; Shukla, H.S. and Ram, R.A. (2010). Studies on foliar application of NAA, GA<sub>3</sub>, Boric acid and Ca (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> on fruit retention, growth, yield and quality of Aonla (*Emblca Officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Banarasi. *Horticulture Journal*, **23**(2): 64-67.

**Table 1:** Effect of foliar application of GA, zinc and their interaction on length of new shoot, no. of leaves per shoot and flowering days in litchi.

Parameter	Doses GA <sub>3</sub> ppm (B)	Zinc % (A)									
		2020					2021				
		A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A	A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A
Length of new Shoot	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	17.26	17.62	18.10	19.12	18.02	17.29	17.65	18.13	19.15	18.05
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	19.56	20.13	20.66	21.18	20.38	19.59	20.16	20.69	21.21	20.41
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	21.69	22.18	22.56	23.14	22.39	21.72	22.21	22.59	23.20	22.43
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	23.63	24.16	24.53	25.32	24.41	23.66	24.19	24.56	25.35	24.44
	<b>Mean A</b>	20.53	21.02	21.46	22.19		20.56	21.05	21.49	22.23	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>AXB</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>AXB</b>		
	<b>SE (m)±</b>	0.187	0.187	0.374			0.178	0.178	0.356		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.541	0.541	NS			0.514	0.514	NS		
	<b>SE (d)±</b>	0.265	0.265	0.529			0.251	0.251	0.503		
No. of leaves per Shoot	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	16.46	16.54	17.25	17.79	17.01	16.94	17.01	17.76	18.23	17.48
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	18.25	18.83	19.24	19.64	18.99	18.87	19.35	19.78	20.10	19.52
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	20.26	20.84	21.23	21.73	21.01	20.96	21.36	21.98	22.15	21.61
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	22.18	22.88	23.92	25.24	23.55	22.96	23.26	24.07	25.98	24.06
	<b>Mean A</b>	19.28	19.77	20.41	21.10		19.93	20.24	20.89	21.61	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>AXB</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>AXB</b>		
	<b>SE (m)±</b>	0.162	0.162	0.324			0.168	0.168	0.337		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.469	0.469	NS			0.488	0.488	NS		
	<b>SE (d)±</b>	0.229	0.229	0.458			0.238	0.238	0.477		
Flowering Days	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	28.14	28.52	27.16	27.62	27.86	27.13	27.10	26.76	26.18	26.79
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	26.22	26.63	25.13	25.66	25.91	25.85	25.26	24.88	24.35	25.08
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	24.16	24.53	23.12	23.64	23.86	23.66	23.34	23.16	22.75	23.22
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	22.10	22.51	22.75	21.18	22.13	22.50	21.76	20.78	20.16	21.30
	<b>Mean A</b>	25.15	25.54	24.54	24.52		24.78	24.36	23.89	23.36	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>AXB</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>AXB</b>		
	<b>SE (m)±</b>	0.213	0.213	0.427			0.158	0.158	0.317		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.617	0.617	NS			0.458	0.458	NS		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.302	0.302	0.603			0.224	0.244	0.448		

**Table 2:** Effect of foliar application of GA, zinc and their interaction on length of panicle, no. of fruit per panicle and length of fruit in litchi.

Parameter	Doses GA ppm (B)	Zinc % (A)									
		2020					2021				
		A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A	A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A
Length of Panicle	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	28.16	28.76	29.23	29.56	28.92	29.18	29.78	30.26	30.56	29.94
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	30.31	30.66	31.18	31.65	30.95	31.35	31.46	32.42	32.69	31.98
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	32.24	32.86	33.14	33.56	32.95	33.19	33.76	34.38	34.78	34.02
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	34.54	34.86	34.98	35.18	34.89	35.16	35.88	36.14	36.66	35.96
	<b>Mean A</b>	31.31	31.78	32.13	32.48		32.22	32.72	33.30	33.67	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE (m)±</b>	0.250	0.250	0.499			0.206	0.206	0.412		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.722	0.722	NS			0.597	0.597	NS		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.353	0.353	0.706			0.292	0.292	0.583		
No. fruit per panicle	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	15.26	15.35	15.66	16.34	15.65	16.16	16.38	16.67	17.23	16.61
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	16.67	16.88	17.34	17.46	17.08	17.46	17.96	18.13	18.76	18.07
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	17.88	18.16	18.63	19.33	18.50	18.83	19.16	19.63	20.64	19.56
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	19.69	20.95	21.66	22.16	21.11	20.88	21.26	22.84	23.78	22.19
	<b>Mean A</b>	17.37	17.83	18.32	18.82		18.33	18.69	19.31	20.10	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE (m) ±</b>	0.150	0.150	0.300			0.143	0.143	0.286		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.434	0.434	NS			0.414	0.414	0.827		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.212	0.212	0.424			0.202	0.202	0.404		
Length of fruit	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	2.10	2.33	2.46	2.58	2.36	2.40	2.48	2.53	2.70	2.52
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	2.76	2.88	3.11	3.56	3.07	2.85	2.95	3.18	3.65	3.15
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	3.79	3.88	3.96	4.01	3.91	3.85	3.95	4.00	4.11	3.97
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	4.10	4.18	4.23	4.33	4.21	4.23	4.29	4.34	4.34	4.30
	<b>Mean A</b>	3.18	3.31	3.44	3.62		3.33	3.41	3.51	3.70	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE (m)±</b>	0.030	0.030	0.059			0.031	0.031	0.061		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.085	0.085	0.171			0.089	0.089	0.177		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.042	0.042	0.084			0.043	0.043	0.087		

**Table 3:** Effect of foliar application of GA, zinc and their interaction on diameter of fruit, length of seed and diameter of seed in litchi.

Parameter	Doses GA ppm (B)	Zinc % (A)									
		2020					2021				
		A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A	A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A
Diameter of fruit	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	2.10	2.36	2.46	2.60	2.38	2.52	2.58	2.66	2.73	2.62
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	2.78	2.86	3.12	3.57	3.08	2.89	2.97	3.15	3.65	3.16
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	3.80	3.89	3.98	4.02	3.92	3.86	3.95	4.01	4.06	3.97
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	4.11	4.19	4.24	4.35	4.22	4.15	4.26	4.35	4.43	4.29
	<b>Mean A</b>	3.19	3.32	3.45	3.63		3.35	3.44	3.54	3.71	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE (m)±</b>	0.034	0.034	0.068			0.023	0.023	0.047		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.098	0.098	0.197			0.068	0.068	0.136		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.048	0.048	0.096			0.033	0.033	0.066		
Length of seed	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	2.98	2.93	2.90	2.85	2.91	2.96	2.92	2.88	2.83	2.89
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	2.80	2.74	2.69	2.64	2.71	2.79	2.72	2.68	2.62	2.70
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	2.56	2.48	2.37	2.31	2.43	2.55	2.46	2.36	2.29	2.41
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	2.24	2.21	2.15	2.06	2.16	2.23	2.19	2.13	2.03	2.14
	<b>Mean A</b>	2.64	2.59	2.52	2.46		2.63	2.57	2.51	2.44	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE(m)±</b>	0.019	0.019	0.039			0.018	0.018	0.036		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.056	0.056	NS			0.052	0.052	NS		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.028	0.028	0.055			0.025	0.025	0.051		
Diameter of seed	<b>B<sub>0</sub>Control</b>	1.97	1.93	1.86	1.84	1.90	1.95	1.91	1.84	1.82	1.88
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	1.79	1.73	1.69	1.65	1.71	1.78	1.72	1.68	1.63	1.70
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	1.61	1.56	1.48	1.44	1.52	1.59	1.54	1.46	1.43	1.50
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	1.40	1.53	1.30	1.26	1.32	1.38	1.33	1.28	1.24	1.30
	<b>Mean A</b>	1.69	1.64	1.58	1.54		1.67	1.62	1.56	1.53	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE(m)±</b>	0.010	0.010	0.020			0.013	0.013	0.026		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.029	0.029	NS			0.037	0.037	NS		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.014	0.014	0.029			0.018	0.018	0.036		

**Table 4:** Effect of foliar application of GA, zinc and their interaction on Fruit weight, Pulp weight and Seed weight in litchi.

Parameter	Doses GA ppm (B)	Zinc % (A)									
		2020					2021				
		A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A	A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A
Fruit weight	B <sub>0</sub> Control	17.13	17.26	17.37	17.43	17.29	18.14	18.27	18.38	18.46	18.31
	B <sub>1</sub> 10	17.58	17.69	18.14	18.26	17.91	19.61	19.72	19.16	19.28	19.44
	B <sub>2</sub> 20	18.37	18.68	18.72	19.33	18.77	19.41	19.65	19.74	20.36	19.79
	B <sub>3</sub> 30	19.74	19.86	20.53	20.78	20.22	20.76	20.88	21.58	21.82	21.26
	Mean A	18.20	18.37	18.69	18.95		19.48	19.63	19.71	19.98	
	Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
	SE (m)±	0.136	0.136	0.273			0.147	0.147	0.294		
	C.D. at 5%	0.395	0.395	NS			0.425	0.425	NS		
SE (d) ±	0.193	0.193	0.386			0.208	0.208	0.415			
Pulp weight	B <sub>0</sub> Control	10.33	10.38	10.47	10.66	10.46	10.36	10.41	10.50	10.69	10.49
	B <sub>1</sub> 10	11.15	11.26	11.37	11.58	11.34	11.18	11.29	11.40	11.61	11.37
	B <sub>2</sub> 20	12.11	12.33	12.63	12.68	12.43	12.14	12.36	12.66	12.81	12.49
	B <sub>3</sub> 30	13.26	13.66	13.88	13.97	13.69	13.29	13.69	13.85	13.99	13.70
	Mean A	11.71	11.90	12.08	12.22		11.74	11.93	12.10	12.27	
	Factors	A	B	A X B			A	B	A X B		
	SE(m) ±	0.073	0.073	0.146			0.076	0.076	0.152		
	C.D. at 5%	0.211	0.211	NS			0.220	0.220	NS		
SE (d) ±	0.103	0.103	0.206			0.108	0.108	0.215			
Seed weight	B <sub>0</sub> Control	3.88	3.83	3.76	3.73	3.80	3.80	3.78	3.72	3.68	3.74
	B <sub>1</sub> 10	3.69	3.66	3.59	3.57	3.62	3.66	3.61	3.56	3.53	3.59
	B <sub>2</sub> 20	3.48	3.46	3.42	3.32	3.42	3.47	3.42	3.38	3.26	3.38
	B <sub>3</sub> 30	3.26	3.22	3.19	3.15	3.20	3.22	3.18	3.16	3.13	3.17
	Mean A	3.57	3.54	3.49	3.44		3.53	3.49	3.45	3.40	
	Factors	A	B	A X B			A	B	A X B		
	SE(m) ±	0.026	0.026	0.052			0.020	0.020	0.040		
	C.D. at 5%	0.076	0.076	NS			0.058	0.058	NS		
SE (d) ±	0.037	0.037	0.074			0.028	0.028	0.057			

**Table 5:** Effect of foliar application of GA, zinc and their interaction on rind weight and pulp/stone ratio of in litchi.

Parameter	Doses GA ppm (B)	Zinc % (A)									
		2020					2021				
		A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A	A <sub>0</sub> Control	A <sub>1</sub> 0.3	A <sub>2</sub> 0.5	A <sub>3</sub> 0.7	Mean A
Rind weight	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	2.82	2.78	2.74	2.72	2.76	2.80	2.77	2.73	2.71	2.75
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	2.71	2.69	2.68	2.66	2.68	2.69	2.67	2.66	2.63	2.66
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	2.65	2.63	2.61	2.60	2.62	2.62	2.60	2.59	2.57	2.59
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	2.58	2.56	2.53	2.51	2.54	2.55	2.54	2.51	2.49	2.52
	<b>Mean A</b>	2.69	2.66	2.64	2.62		2.66	2.64	2.62	2.60	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE(m)±</b>	0.019	0.019	0.039			0.017	0.017	0.034		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.056	0.056	NS			0.050	0.050	NS		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.027	0.027	0.055			0.024	0.024	0.049		
Pulp/stone ratio	<b>B<sub>0</sub> Control</b>	2.13	2.24	2.31	2.36	2.26	2.11	2.21	2.28	2.33	2.23
	<b>B<sub>1</sub> 10</b>	2.41	2.46	3.51	3.56	2.98	2.40	2.89	3.48	3.53	3.07
	<b>B<sub>2</sub> 20</b>	3.63	3.68	3.76	4.14	3.80	3.62	3.76	3.93	4.11	3.85
	<b>B<sub>3</sub> 30</b>	4.38	4.58	4.76	4.88	4.65	4.35	4.57	4.71	4.85	4.62
	<b>Mean A</b>	3.13	3.24	3.58	3.73		3.12	3.35	3.60	3.70	
	<b>Factors</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>			<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A X B</b>		
	<b>SE(m) ±</b>	0.025	0.025	0.049			0.023	0.023	0.046		
	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	0.071	0.071	0.142			0.066	0.066	0.132		
	<b>SE (d) ±</b>	0.035	0.035	0.069			0.032	0.032	0.065		