

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>                                 |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJMPCR_98872  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Spontaneous intraperitoneal rupture of hydatid cysts of the liver with encapsulating peritonitis ; a case report |
| Type of the Article      | Case report  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Though it is case study, compared with other cases are needed, ,Authors need conservative methods treatment, how people will take prevention to avoid this disease. Some literature review should written to clear idea of the paper<br>Statically study may help improve quality of papers<br><br>1. Yes , such kind of study may help to improve community health<br><br>3. yes<br><br>4. Need more elaboration<br><br>5. Fair<br><br>6. Need reference in article |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Yes, but author should write in easy words that every person can easily understand   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Article need more elaboration<br><br>Statically presentation of these kind of disease, chances morbidity, mortality  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Kankana De</b>                   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Vidyasagar University, India</b> |