

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_98563
Title of the Manuscript:	Overcoming Challenges of Traditional Prenatal Care: A Pilot Study on the Usability and Acceptability of a Remote Monitoring Device for Pregnant Women in India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is very important to scientific community to apply portable device to monitor fetal and maternal condition safely, effectively and efficiently.</p> <p>2. Somewhat, it is not suitable. Because, current prenatal care is not traditional. Nowadays, Modern antenatal care has being utilized global wide. So, it needs correction, like "Overcoming Challenges of in person Prenatal Care: A Pilot Study on the Usability and Acceptability of a Remote Monitoring Device for Pregnant Women in India."</p> <p>3. Yes, it is.</p> <p>4. NO. The document particularly, subsections of method part need to be reorganized or arranged. Data quality assurance technique is not described in the method part of manuscript. Study population is not well explained.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Some references are outdated. Example; Basu S. Health and culture among the underprivileged groups. Health Millions. 1992;18(1-2):23-4. Rubarth LB, Schoening AM, Cosimano A, Sandhurst H. Women's Experience of Hospitalized Bed Rest During High-Risk Pregnancy. Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing. 2012 May 1;41(3):398-407.</p> <p>Kerner R, Yogev Y, Belkin A, Ben-Haroush A, Zeevi B, Hod M. Maternal self-administered fetal heart rate monitoring and transmission from home in high-risk pregnancies. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2004;84(1):33-9.</p> <p>The aim/objective of this study is not correctly stated. It does not tell as when is studied and on whom it is studied. Example, it is not clear that, the study participants were either GDM patients in the last trimester or not. And again, the author did not tell us why he/she selected only GDM patients from other risky pregnancies.</p> <p>Study period is not known or indicated at any portion of this manuscript.</p> <p>Since, the study was conducted to assess usability and acceptability of a Remote Monitoring Device for Pregnant Women, conclusion should be about the finding of this study.</p> <p>The outcome variable is not clearly operationalized for readers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are grammatical problems, like tense utilization, punctuation placement problems. Again, some active and passive forms of sentences are not correctly constructed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Workineh Shume Hailu
Department, University & Country	Bonga University, Ethiopia