

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_97980
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the efficacy of Herbal antidotes of Viper snakes through In-Silico docking analysis of their bioactive components targeting phospholipase A2
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>Yes. It is valuable. One of the medical research and valuable for future. Have been found bioactive compounds from herbal antidotes. Done with invitro studies in molecular level. I am suggesting to take this research to invivo level using bioactive compounds to find medicinal values.</p> <p>It is perfect!</p> <p>Abstract is an extensive.</p> <p>Yes it is relevant.</p> <p>Obviously.</p> <p>References should be in alphabetical order.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes! Its suitable Valuable research.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Clear explanation!. Tables, results ,pictures and bioactive compound structures are excellent!. I am ensure that this bioactive compound found from herbal antidotes which is very valuable in near future.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumathi
Department, University & Country	Emory University, United States of America