

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_97980
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the efficacy of Herbal antidotes of Viper snakes through In-Silico docking analysis of their bioactive components targeting phospholipase A2
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Based on the results it was concluded that the bio-active compounds Andrographolide, Aristolochic acid and Genistein present in the herbal ingredients possess significant binding against the target enzyme phospholipases A2. yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes, references are sufficient but need to be more recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Keywords:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re-order keywords • Too long key words <p>Introduction: herbal substituents 1.3 part: mention reference of this paragraph</p> <p>Material and methods: Add fig title below figure.</p> <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fix reference format in reference list • Check reference 4, 6,22. • Add some recent references. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rania Said Salah Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Medical and Clinical Studies Research Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt