

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_97980
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the efficacy of Herbal antidotes of Viper snakes through In-Silico docking analysis of their bioactive components targeting phospholipase A2
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The research aimed at advancing current theory or adapting theory to local conditions and the research aimed to evaluate the efficacy of Herbal antidotes of Viper snakes through In-Silico docking analysis of their bioactive components targeting phospholipase A2,</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, acceptable research strategies used.</p> <p>The subsections and the general structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The methodology, writing style, grammar and use of language meet the standard.</p> <p>The manuscript meets the scientific standards.</p> <p>References are sufficiently provided.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing style, grammar and use of language meet the standard.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall the information presented represents valuable information on efficacy of Herbal antidotes of Viper snakes through In-Silico docking analysis of their bioactive components targeting phospholipase A2. The results of the study concluded that the bio-active compounds Andrographolide, Aristolochic acid and Genistein present in the herbal ingredients possess significant binding against the target enzyme phospholipases A2. This placed the current study in better context of the scientific progress of the 21st century.</p> <p>I hope my comments will facilitate the decision to publish this study in your journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulrasheed Dalhatu
Department, University & Country	Aminu Saleh College of Education, Nigeria