

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_98566
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence/ Machine Learning In Health Sector: Current Status and Future Perspectives.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is of great theoretical and practical importance for the scientific community. It is recommended that manuscripts be published immediately.</p> <p>The title of the article matches the text of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive, detailed, extensive, multifaceted.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript correspond with the text.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically suitable.</p> <p>Manuscript references for writing a scientific article are enough</p> <p>Key words are missing from the manuscript. Author should indicate 8-10 important terms from the work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is of great importance in the science of computer technology, medicine, and in the field of public health and science in general. The structure of the manuscript is similar to the requirements for compiling scientific papers. Only it was possible to show the results in a broader sense, and the significance of this work should be extensively shown. The author should also shorten the list of references and after each link you need to clarify analyses.</p> <p>I recommend that a researcher, a specialist in this field, should familiarize with the manuscript. If all scientific analyses are scientifically correct and positive, then the manuscript can be published.</p> <p>I express my gratitude to the author for the efforts and hard work for this research and writing this work.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I especially note these sentences, which are significant: However, because human empathy and affection cannot be fully substituted by robots, caring for humans is fundamentally a very complex process that cannot be simply automated. Human physicians may eventually gravitate towards duties and work arrangements that make use of particularly human abilities like empathy, persuasion, and big-picture integration. Those healthcare professionals who refuse to collaborate with artificial intelligence may end up being the only ones to lose their professions in the future. In the hands of any doctor, scientist, or researcher, ML has the potential to be a potent tool. There appears to be a breakthrough in machine learning every day. With every innovation, a fresh machine learning (ML) application appears that can address a real healthcare issue. The medical sector is closely monitoring this trend as ML technology continues to progress. Doctors and surgeons are using ML ideas to help save lives, identify diseases and other issues even before they manifest, better manage patients, involve patients more fully in the healing process, and do a lot more.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fayzulloeva Munira Shokirhonovna
Department, University & Country	Tajik State University of Law, Business and Politics, Tajikistan