

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_98200
Title of the Manuscript:	Fluid and Lithology Discrimination for Reservoir Characterization of HAX Field, Offshore Niger Delta
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes but significant issues with the syntax and structure of the MS. Need to rewrite and avoid repetitions. I suggest authors use an AI-based editor so that the clarity of sentences and the flow of the writing could be improved</p> <p>The title needs to change according to the conclusions drawn</p> <p>I have given the remarks in the abstract and the text</p> <p>I have commented on these Issues in the text itself. Some changes to the maps expect and also to the conclusion</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Some older references are there which could be updated with newer ones.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Need extensive corrections and restructuring. Highlighted some of my comments in the MS	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	H.A.H. Jayasena
Department, University & Country	University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka