

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_98168
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTRON TRANSFER REACTION OF THEOBROMINE AND PERMANGANATE ION IN AQUEOUS ACIDIC MEDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	There are some issues that need to be clarified. The detailed comments are as follows: (1) Please check whether the abbreviation is correct and make sure to note it when first used. (2) Some interesting research based on Electron transfer (ET) should be introduced to keep abreast of the latest research trends. e.g.: Appl. Surf. Sci., 2023, 610, 155346, Adv. Powder Mater., 2023, 2, 100073, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 455, 140943, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2023, 304, 122401, Chin. J. Catal., 2022, 43, 2652–2664, etc.; (3) The language should be further polished. (4) The quality of figures should be improved. (5) Reaction Mechanism should be discussed in detail.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shijie Li
Department, University & Country	Zhejiang Ocean University, China