

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_82561
Title of the Manuscript:	Varying inclusions of Piper guineense, Aframomum melegueta and their composite in the diets of broiler chickens: effects on growth and antioxidative status
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Why 201 d old birds were selected, justify??</p> <p>Any previous finding on active principle present in natural antioxidants used for the present study should be mentioned and introduction an discussion</p> <p>Growth data not given.</p> <p>Since there is economic cost involved in feeding extra ingredient and simultaneously there is also gain in growth rate . So the economy of feeding can also be calculated and compared to control. This will add more value to the final result.</p> <p>If any information Disease/ mortality during the trial??</p> <p>Based on the result it appears that group G with combination of PGSP and AMSP showed the best result.. Hence this may be clearly stated in the result, conclusion and abstract.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In Table 1.and 2 mention unit (kg???) for ingredients</p> $RGR = \{(W_2 - W_1) / 0.5 \times (W_2 + W_1)\} \times 100$ <p style="text-align: center;">↑ Insert multiplication symbol in formula</p>	
Optional/General comments	There is a lot of scope for improving the language. To do so author may get help from some Scientific writing Editing Services and spell check is also suggested.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjumoni Mech
Department, University & Country	ICAR, National Institute of Animal Nutrition & Physiology, India