

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_98709
Title of the Manuscript:	The New ChatGPT Model Can Be Your Philosopher, Good Friend And Most Dangerous Adversary All At Once.
Type of the Article	Commentary Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The manuscripts offer views on ChatGPT's applications in the fields of healthcare, education, and climate change. They also discuss some of ChatGPT's drawbacks. The chosen subject is new and has potential to benefit the academic society. 2. I Suggest title of the article "A study on use of ChatGPT in industry and its limitations" 3. Yes 4. I suggest adding points on need of article, objective, literature review, research method, data collection method in article. 5. Kindly mention the reason for selecting only five application (3.1 to 3.5) 6. Yes, please add more detail on data source and cut off year of the data source for ChatGPT 7. Kindly do the formatting for table / figures headings, and like to see more details on 3.1 section (ChatGPT in Medical Education) 8. Kindly reframe the conclusion sections in line with research e.g. "financial institutions benefits "has been added in conclusion which is not mentioned in research/article.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, kindly check few errors like some times its written ChatGPT/Chat GPT , or " Finally, financial institutions are beginning to reap the benefits. Finally, there is no doubt that the implementation of" sentence and please do the grammar correction.	
Optional/General comments	I read this article and thought it was exceptional, fascinating, and had potential to be academically valuable. Make the necessary adjustments as advised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uday Arun Bhale
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India