

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_98709
Title of the Manuscript:	The New ChatGPT Model Can Be Your Philosopher, Good Friend And Most Dangerous Adversary All At Once.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, this is a recent topic and has sufficient significance for the scientific community. No., The current title is too lengthy; it should be short and meaningful. Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some minor changes are necessary to make improvements. 1. Word "ChatGPT" should be the exact spelling and the same letter case everywhere in the manuscript. It was written some place as "Chatgpt" Section 1.1, 1.2, 1.3. It should be similar in the entire manuscript. 2. There are a lot of English language issues present in the manuscript. I suggest checking the manuscript with Grammarly or any other English assistance software/a native English speaker. E.g. Word "E-Commerce" was written as "Ecommerce" in section 3.3. 3. There are Inconsistent date formats present in the manuscript. November 30, 2022 and November 30th, 2022. Both are spelled out. Finally, I recommend to re-write the manuscript concerning all language issues and re-submit.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.S. Ansari
Department, University & Country	Eklavya University, India