

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_98797
Title of the Manuscript:	Teaching Practice in Teacher Education Departments as a factor of professional development of teacher candidates: presentation of the structuring of a Teaching Practice project for teacher candidates
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, but minor suggestions are deemed necessary, if may be considered by the author, like: 1.1 Very lengthy/ wordy title/ problem, instead use this title/ problem if may be considered by the writer: "Teaching Practices in Teacher Education Departments as a Factor of Professional Development of Teacher Candidates." Presentation of the structuring of a teaching practice project for teacher candidates may be included in the scope/ delimitation of this paper or in the discussion portion of this paper. 3. Abstract must be short but must capture important or salient features only of the paper. With this, I would suggest improving the abstract as per suggestion. 4. Seems sub-sectioning of the manuscript is also appropriate. 5. I would suggest minor corrections be made and consult an expert to ensure a scientifically coherent paper.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Need for language/ english filtering to ensure suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	1. Introduction must be short but substantial. I would suggest for the revision of the introduction, only to consider the felt needs of the study and include some legal mandates why there is exactly a need for this research paper. 2. Since crafting of framework for the implementation of teaching practices projects is the final output of this paper, I would suggestion that such framework must capture or give more emphasis on the identified methodology as core parameters of the framework.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amado A. Oliva
Department, University & Country	College of Arts and Sciences, Camarines Sur Polytechnic Colleges, Philippines