

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98518
Title of the Manuscript:	TEACHING LEARNING PRACTICES IN SRI AUROBINDO'S INTEGRAL SCHOOL AT ELEMENTARY LEVEL: A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The present manuscript focus on Sri Aurobindo's Integral School located at Kendupatana village, Khordha district. It is a case study and appropriate for scientific community, but an in-depth study should have been done. 2. As it is a case study, the title is apt , still it can be refined as ' Teaching-learning process at Sri Aurobindo's Integral School- An Explorative Study' or " Teaching-learning process at Sri Aurobindo's School'. 3.Abstract iss appropriate but introduction is lengthy. Just four (4) key words are furnished. Supervision, Self-co-operation, Psychological well-being may give weightage. 4. As per structure is concerned, findings and discussions may be stated with statistical proof. 5.The objectives may be presented in a succinct way. eg. Mental,physical,emotional,social and spiritual development. 6.Just seven references were cited, the recent works may be furnished. 7. Recent reviews may be added. The researcher has covered works up to 2018.This may be presented chronologically. 8. Please incorporate briefly the statistical methods employed. 9. Conclusions may be drawn invariably.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Linguistic aspects are good, but try to explain how thick description has been done.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's PG College, India