

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98518
Title of the Manuscript:	TEACHING LEARNING PRACTICES IN SRI AUROBINDO'S INTEGRAL SCHOOL AT ELEMENTARY LEVEL: A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher conducted a study to explore the teaching-learning practices of one Sri Aurobindo's Integral School located at Kendupatana village, Khordha district, concerning the physical, mental, emotional, social, and spiritual development of elementary students, methods of transaction of curriculum, assessment, and evaluation techniques perceived by teachers, perception of the headmaster on supervision and self-cooperation. The manuscript is a conceptual model and does not add much to the scientific community. However, if results can be presented properly supported by a questionnaire in a graph, it can be an eye-opener for the school management. 2. Title is okay 3. The abstract is acceptable, but language review is recommended, and as a sample abstract's English is reviewed using word-track changes to review. 4. It is better to add a proper conclusion, and also findings can be presented in a separate section. 5. It is a conceptual model, so nothing is proven. It would be much better if a questionnaire was used to show the significant importance of the research. 6. The paper cited only seven references it is recommended to add more references and bring to at least fifteen references to support the literature. The latest paper cited in the literature is from 2018. It is recommended to add a few latest research. 7. A proper conclusion needs to be added to the research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>A review of language is recommended, although it is not written totally in an unaccepted language, but it is better to have another review. As a sample abstract, English is reviewed using word-track changes to review.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language needs to be reviewed. 2. References need to be added to support the literature and brought it to at least fifteen references. 3. Findings can be displayed as a graph, not a written statement without the support of questionnaire proof. 4. A proper conclusion needs to be added to the research. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bothinah Altaf
Department, University & Country	King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia