

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_98232
Title of the Manuscript:	Variation in Morphological Attributes and Soil Characteristics of Potential Horticultural Plants growing in the Wild.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article is based on morphological and soil characterization, which lack many scientific aspects such as asthmatic potential, invasiveness, mode of reproduction (seed or vegetatively), etc. I was wondering what make this article unique or novel than the report from Umoh et al., 2020 and 2021</p> <p>The title is too big for the results that is reported in the article</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are many editorial and grammatical errors and some of the sentences are too long to grasp the main idea. The language needs to be check by English native	
Optional/General comments	The paper might have local importance however, it lack scientific merits for international readers	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amelework Assefa
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Council, South Africa