

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_98505
Title of the Manuscript:	Transition decision of hybrid entrepreneurship in the post-pandemic period: Understanding the new normal factors in developing context
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is of a great scientific interest for the research and wider community as it discusses hybrid entrepreneurship as a method for social and other problem solving.</p> <p>The title is ok. However, what is the author(s) opinion of the title 'Transition decision for hybrid entrepreneurship...?'</p> <p>The abstract is well structured.</p> <p>The subsections are logically built.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Up to date and well selected references</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is appropriate and comfortable. Would the author(s) agree to add 'who on 'Page 1: Hybrid entrepreneur is a person who tries out?	
Optional/General comments	This reference is cited on Page 7 but is not shown in the list of references: Mahieu et al 2022	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jelena Zascerinska
Department, University & Country	Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia