

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_98505
Title of the Manuscript:	Transition decision of hybrid entrepreneurship in the post-pandemic period: Understanding the new normal factors in developing context
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Articles are very important to the scientific community</p> <p>The title of the article is in accordance with the contents of the article</p> <p>The article abstract is very complete</p> <p>The script structure fits perfectly</p> <p>In my opinion the article is very scientific because it uses language with quite good grammar, the style of the enclosure meets the rules of international journals, the literature review is very up to date</p> <p>The bibliography is quite up to date</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language quality is quite good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general, good articles, according to the style of the journal in general. The article has met the requirements ranging from abstract, introduction, literature review, methodology, results & discussion as well as consistency conclusions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gita Sugiyarti
Department, University & Country	Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Indonesia