

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98326
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 VACCINE ACCEPTANCE AND HESITANCE AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN AND NURSING MOTHERS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	A manuscript titled "COVID-19 VACCINE ACCEPTANCE AND HESITANCE AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN AND NURSING MOTHERS IN NIGERIA" addresses a topic of current relevance. The manuscript has been carefully read by me, and I have outlined the following points that need to be addressed before the manuscript can be published: 1. To strengthen the background, add some recent studies on COVID-19 to the introduction.  2. Calculation of the Adjusted Odds Ratio is doubtful. It needs to be carefully checked.  3. This study has no policy implications either for the country where it is conducted or for the world.  4. There is no connection between the results and conclusions in the conclusion part, so it needs to be improved	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Om Raj Katoch</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt. Degree College, University of Jammu, India</b>