

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_98213 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The role of cell detection tool of QuPath-0.3.2 software in differentiating benign cervical epithelium from malignant epithelial clusters. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. Yes, it is. This paper discusses on squamous cell carcinoma which famous issue in dentistry. 2. Yes, suitable 3. For abstract: normally include Background: Need to be stated Objective: OK Materials and methods: OK Results: OK Conclusions: OK 4. Appropriate 5. Yes. It is. 6. Please rearrange the references according to the alphabetical order. Also, please find the latest references (5 years back). | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | 1. Please redo the labelling on each of the figures. It should be below the figure. 2. Please choose appropriate keywords for this work 3. Please refer the paper for the corrections | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Wan Muhamad Amir Bin W Ahmad |
| Department, University & Country | School of Dental Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia |