

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_98288
Title of the Manuscript:	ACHIEVING UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE IN NIGERIA: CURRENT CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	No. It has no scientific substance. Yes it is. But the text is shallow. The abstract reflects the lack of substance of the main text Roughly yes, but needs headings of subsections NO, it is not: is it a review as the author claims OR is it a commentary. Both are not sustainable as scientific Too few references for such a large topic. The author has to take time to do a proper literature search	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Many grammatical errors. For example Para 2 It should WHO has declared....NOT declares	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sam Agatre Okuonzi
Department, University & Country	African Center For Global Health And Social Transformation, Uganda